



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/617,254	07/14/2000	Hiroshi Shinriki	194264US-2-DIV	9218
22850	7590	12/21/2005		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER PADGETT, MARIANNE L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	
DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

✓

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/617,254	SHINRIKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Marianne L. Padgett	1762	

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** After final

(1) Marianne L. Padgett.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Edwin Garlepp.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 December 2005

**Time:** About noon

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
NA

Claims discussed:  
57-58, 77, 90 & 95


Prior art documents discussed:  
NA

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**MARIANNE PADGETT**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An examiner's amendment to the after final amendment of 12/8/05 was proposed, where in claims 57, 77 & 90 in the last or 2nd to last line, "an" would be deleted, such that it would be clear that all of the opposed surface area of the object is being referred to. In claim 95, line 9, "," would be changed to --in--, consistent with the suggestion in the 9/8/2005 action & because the present phrasing of the after final of 12/8/05 is fragmentary, decreasing the clarity of where the active oxygen is being generated. These changes were agreed to & will be made by Examiner's Amendment.

Also, claim 58 has been superceded by the amendments to independent claim 57, hence it needs to be either deleted or amended to be consistent with changes in the independent claim. On 12/16/2005, claim 58 was further discussed with Mr. Garlepp, who is checking with the applicants to find out what how they wish to precede with respect to this claim.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "MGP", is located in the lower right quadrant of the page.